

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,175	02/26/2002	Dennis Erenberger	10010753-1	5122	
7590 07/06/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES,INC.			HARRIS, A	HARRIS, ANTON B	
Legal Department, DL 429					
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 7599			2831		
Loveland, CO	80537-0599		DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/083,175	ERENBERGER ET AL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Anton B. Harris	2831		

Advisory Action	10/083,175	ERENBERGER ET AL					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Anton B. Harris	2831					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
• •	HE REPLY FILED 05 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of							
	this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which						
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No							
(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp	liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	y must be filed within	one of the				
following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a							
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta							
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months	s after the mailing date of the final rejection	n, even if timely filed, ma	y reduce any				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two mon	the of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		,	•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).		•					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro</li> </ol>		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below of appended.						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	•						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•					
3.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Notice of Appeal will n	ot be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an							
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		•					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  1. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13. Other:							
	-//	1/ nov. / 1	Korota				
	1 9	enna.	punacy				
DEAN A. REICHARD							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

TECHNOLOGY PATENT EXAMINATION TECHNOLOGY GENTER 12800 60621

**Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)** 

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly cited limitation of "a body mounted on an outside surface of the electronic device, said cable routing

channel comprising an ingress for receiving an external cable from a first outside face of the electronic device, an egress for outputting said external cable to a second outside face of the electronic device, and a guiding path therebetween for removably routing said external cable between said ingress and said egress" would require futher search and consideration.